1 2	Jeffrey M. Davidson (SBN 248620) Alan Bersin (SBN 63874) COVINGTON & BURLING LLP	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MICHAEL L. NEWMAN
3	One Front Street, 35th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-5356	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JAMES F. ZAHRADKA II (SBN 196822)
4	Telephone: (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: (415) 591-6091	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550
5	Email: jdavidson@cov.com, abersin@cov.com	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 879-1247
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs The Regents of the University of California and Janet Napolitano, in her official capacit	E-mail: James.Zahradka@doj.ca.gov
7	President of the University of California	
8	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR. (SBN 132099) ETHAN D. DETTMER (SBN 196046)	JOSEPH W. COTCHETT (SBN 36324) NANCY L. FINEMAN (SBN 124870)
9	JESSE S. GABRIEL (SBN 263137) 333 South Grand Avenue	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP San Francisco Airport Office Center
10	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 Telephone: (213) 229-7000	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010
11	Facsimile: (213) 229-7520 Email: tboutrous@gibsondunn.com,	Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577
12	edettmer@gibsondunn.com; jgabriel@gibsondunn.com	Email: nfineman@cpmlegal.com Attorneys for Plaintiff City of San Jose
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Dulce Garcia, Miriam Gonzalez Avila, Saul Jimenez Suarez, Viridiana Chabolla Menod:	za, JONATHAN WEISSGLASS (SBN 185008)
14	Norma Ramirez, and Jirayut Latthivongskorn	STACEY M. LEYTON (SBN 203827) ERIC P. BROWN (SBN 284245)
15		ALTSHULER BERZON LLP 177 Post Street, Suite 300
16		San Francisco, CA 9108 Telephone: (415) 421-7151
17		Facsimile: (415) 362-8064 Email: jweissglass@altber.com
18		Attorneys for Plaintiffs County of Santa Clara and Service Employees International Union Local 521
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
21	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA and JANET NAPOLITANO,	CASE NO. 17-CV-05211-WHA
22	in her official capacity as President of the University of California,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
23	Plaintiffs,	ORDER REGARDING AMICUS BRIEFING
24	v.	
25	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND	
26	SECURITY and ELAINE DUKE, in her official capacity as Acting Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security,	
27	Defendants.	
28		

1 2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, STATE OF MAINE, STATE OF MARYLAND, and	CASE NO. 17-CV-05235-WHA
3	STATE OF MINNESOTA,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING AMICUS
	Plaintiffs,	BRIEFING
4	V.	
5	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, ELAINE DUKE, in her official	
6	capacity as Acting Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, and the UNITED	
7	STATES OF AMERICA,	
8	Defendants.	
9		
10	CITY OF SAN JOSE, a municipal corporation,	CASE NO. 17-CV-05329-WHA
11	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
12	v.	ORDER REGARDING AMICUS BRIEFING
13	DONALD J. TRUMP, President of the United States, in his official capacity, ELAINE C.	DRIEFING
14	DUKE, in her official capacity, and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	DULCE GARCIA, MIRIAM GONZALEZ AVILA, SAUL JIMENEZ SUAREZ,	CASE NO. 17-CV-05380-WHA
19	VIRIDIANA CHABOLLA MENDOZA, NORMA RAMIREZ, and JIRAYUT	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING AMICUS
20	LATTHIVONGSKORN,	BRIEFING
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	V.	
23	J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF	
24	HOMELAND SECURITY, and ELAINE DUKE, in her official capacity as Acting	
25	Secretary of Homeland Security,	
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		

1 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA and SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL 2 UNION LOCAL 521 3 Plaintiffs, 4 5 DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States, JEFFERSON 6 BEAUREGARD SESSIONS, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United States; ELAINE C. DUKE, in her official 7 capacity as Acting Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security; and the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND 9 SECURITY. 10 Defendants. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

CASE NO. 17-CV-05813-WHA

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING AMICUS BRIEFING

Law Offices
COTCHETT, PITRE &
MCCARTHY, LLP

28

4

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

and Minnesota, Dulce Garcia, Miriam Gonzalez Avila, Saul Jimenez Suarez, Viridiana Chabolla Mendoza, Norma Ramirez, Jirayut Latthivongskorn, City of San Jose, County of Santa Clara and Service Employees International Union Local 521, and Defendants United States Department of Homeland Security, Acting Secretary of Homeland Security Elaine Duke in her official capacity, United States of America, President Donald J. Trump in his official capacity, and Attorney General Jefferson Beauregard Sessions in his official capacity in each of the five above referenced cases stipulate as follows: WHEREAS each party expects that there will be persons and entities who wish to file an amicus brief supporting one side or the other in the motions to be filed on November 1, 2017 and

Plaintiffs The Regents of the University of California, Janet Napolitano, in her official

capacity as President of the University of California, the States of California, Maine, Maryland,

WHEREAS the Court has limited the amicus briefs to fifteen (15) pages, which would include the identity and interest of the amici;

WHEREAS it benefits the Court, the parties, and the public to have a procedure in place before the amicus briefs are due to govern the filing of the amicus briefs;

THEREFORE, the parties suggest that the Court adopt the following procedure for persons wishing to file an amicus brief:

Each person who wishes to file an amicus brief shall:

that some of the amici will be filing joint briefs;

- File an administrative motion for leave to file an amicus brief, including as an attachment the amicus brief;
- File all administrative motions and attached amicus briefs on the same day as the brief it supports;
- Include in the administrative motion for leave: (A) a concise statement of the identity of the amicus curiae; (B) the movant's interest; and (C) the reason why an amicus brief is desirable and why the matters asserted are relevant to the disposition of the issues before the Court;

- Not file a proposed amicus brief in excess of fifteen (15) pages in length and the amici may not submit evidentiary material, so their briefs should include everything within their 15 pages;
- File all documents electronically through the court's Electronic Case Filing system.
   That will require any attorneys signing the motions and briefs to be or become ECF
   Users and be assigned user IDs and passwords for access to the system. Forms and instructions can be found on the Court's website at ecf.cand.uscourts.gov.
- Attorneys admitted to practice and in good standing in any United States District Court are authorized to file a motion for leave to file an amicus brief and the attached amicus brief. An application to appear by pro hac vice for this limited purpose is not required and the requirements of Northern District of California Local Rule 11-3 are waived, including assistance of local counsel.
- The Court will take the administrative motions for leave under submission and, if granted, may consider the briefs. Amici curiae will not be allowed to address the Court during the oral argument on the motions, absent further order from the Court.

## IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: October 25, 2017	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP
	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY RICHARD DOYLE NORA FRIMANN
	/s/ Nancy L. Fineman NANCY L. FINEMAN
	Attorneys for Plaintiff City of San Jose
Dated: October 25, 2017	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
	/s/ Jeffrey M. Davidson JEFFREY M. DAVIDSON Attorneys for Plaintiffs The Regents of the University of California and Janet Napolitano, in her official capacity as President of the

University of California

## Case 3:17-cv-05211-WHA Document 101 Filed 10/25/17 Page 6 of 8

1	Dated: October 25, 2017	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California
2		/s/ James F. Zahradka II
3		JAMES F. ZAHRADKA II Deputy Attorney General
4		Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California
5	Dated: October 25, 2017	JANET T. MILLS
6		Attorney General of Maine
7		/s/ Susan P. Herman SUSAN P. HERMAN
8		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Maine
9		Attorneys for Flamuii State of Maine
10	Dated: October 25, 2017	BRIAN E. FROSH Attorney General of Maryland
11		/s/ Steven M. Sullivan
12		STEVEN M. SULLIVAN Solicitor General
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Maryland
14	Dated: October 25, 2017	LORI SWANSON
15		Attorney General State of Minnesota
16		/s/ Julianna F. Passe
17		JULIANNA F. PASSE Assistant Attorney General
18		Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Minnesota
19	Dated: October 25, 2017	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
20		/s/ Ethan Dettmer
21		ETHAN DETTMER Attorneys for Plaintiffs Dulce Garcia, Miriam
22		Gonzalez Avila, Saul Jimenez Suarez, Viridiana Chabolla Mendoza, Norma Ramirez,
23	D. 1.0.1.05.0017	and Jirayut Latthivongskorn
24	Dated: October 25, 2017	ALTSHULER BERZON LLP
25		<u>/s/ Jonathan Weissglass</u> JONATHAN WEISSGLASS
26		Attorneys for Plaintiffs County of Santa Clara and Service Employees International Union Local 521
27		
28		

Law Offices COTCHETT, PITRE & McCarthy, LLP

## Case 3:17-cv-05211-WHA Document 101 Filed 10/25/17 Page 7 of 8

1	Dated: October 25, 2017	OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
2		/s/ James R. Williams
3		JAMES R. WILLIAMS Attorneys for Plaintiff County of Santa Clara
4		Theorneys for Francis County of Saina Clara
5	Dated: October 25, 2017	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CHAD A. READLER
6		Acting Assistant Attorney General BRIAN J. STRETCH
7		Unites States Attorney JENNIFER D. RICKETTS
8		Director, Federal Programs Branch
9		JOHN R. TYLER Assistant Director, Federal Programs Branch
10		/s/ Brad P. Rosenberg
11		BRAD P. ROSENBERG Senior Trial Counsel
12		Attorneys for Defendants
13		
14	FILER'S A	ATTESTATION
15	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the	
16	filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other Signatories.	
		/a/Namay I. Finaman
17	Dated: October 25, 2017	/s/ Nancy L. Fineman Nancy L. Fineman
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
27		
28 es	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] C	ORDER REGARDING AMICUS BRIEFING;

[PROPOSED] ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED that the procedure set forth above regarding the filing of amicus briefs shall be followed by any person wishing to submit an amicus brief for the motions to be filed on November 1, 2017. Dated: October \_\_\_, 2017 HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE